

Durham Research Online

Deposited in DRO:

09 October 2017

Version of attached file:

Accepted Version

Peer-review status of attached file:

Peer-reviewed

Citation for published item:

Parsons, A. and Cooper, J. and Wainwright, J. and Sekiguchi, T. (2018) 'Virtual velocity of sand transport in water.', *Earth surface processes and landforms.*, 43 (3). pp. 755-761.

Further information on publisher's website:

<https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.4262>

Publisher's copyright statement:

This is the accepted version of the following article: Parsons, A., Cooper, J., Wainwright, J. Sekiguchi, T. (2017). Virtual velocity of sand transport in water. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*, 43(3): 755-761, which has been published in final form at <https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.4262>. This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance With Wiley Terms and Conditions for self-archiving.

Use policy

The full-text may be used and/or reproduced, and given to third parties in any format or medium, without prior permission or charge, for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes provided that:

- a full bibliographic reference is made to the original source
- a [link](#) is made to the metadata record in DRO
- the full-text is not changed in any way

The full-text must not be sold in any format or medium without the formal permission of the copyright holders.

Please consult the [full DRO policy](#) for further details.

**Earth Surface
Processes and Landforms**

Virtual velocity of sand transport in water

Journal:	<i>Earth Surface Processes and Landforms</i>
Manuscript ID	ESP-17-0179.R1
Wiley - Manuscript type:	Letters to ESEX
Date Submitted by the Author:	11-Aug-2017
Complete List of Authors:	Parsons, Anthony; University of Sheffield, Geography Cooper, James; University of Liverpool, School of Environmental Sciences Wainwright, John; University of Durham, Geography SEKIGUCHI, Tomohiro; Univerisity of Tsukuba, Terrestrial Environment Research Center;
Keywords:	virtual velocity, flume experiment, suspended sediment

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

Review

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60

1 **Introduction**

2 In a recent ESEX commentary, Parsons *et al.* (2015, p.1419) argued that “there is no inherent

3 physical difference between so-called suspended sediment and bedload or saltating load, and

4 that, in reality, all sediment transport lies along a continuum of hop lengths and virtual

5 velocities” They further commented (p. 1419) that because the concepts of hop length and

6 virtual velocity have not “been thought relevant to so-called suspended sediment, details of

7 how fast suspended sediment moves in relation to the velocity of flow, either as virtual

8 velocity including the periods of rest on the bed or as actual velocity during movement, is

9 lacking.” Obtaining such information is inherently difficult because the typical size of such

10 sediment renders impossible many of the tracking techniques that have been used to study the

11 movement of bedload in water (e.g. Hassan *et al.*, 1991; Ferguson and Wathen, 1998;

12 Lammare *et al.*, 2005). However, this information is important for estimation of bed material

13 yield and sediment residence times, and for understanding morphological change, catchment

14 connectivity and particulate pollutant transport.

15 Recent work by Mao *et al.* (2017) has used spray-painted sections of river beds, and

16 been able to extend the information on virtual velocity to particles as small as 4 mm.

17 However, if particles are small enough to be considered conventionally as suspended load it

18 would seem intuitively likely that their virtual velocities are a relatively large fraction of

19 water velocity, so that detecting them after a transport event may be difficult. Whereas Milan

20 (2013), for example, reported virtual velocities of particles with D_{50} of 61 mm in terms of

21 m/day, virtual velocities of sand-sized particles transported at high values of excess shear

22 stress are likely to be of the order of m/s. Here, we report on an experiment using a long

23 flume to provide a first attempt at determining the virtual velocity of such sand-sized

24 sediment in water.

26 **Methods**

27 A single experiment was performed using the large flume facility at the University of
28 Tsukuba, Japan. This flume is 160-m long, 4-m wide and 2-m deep, has a fixed slope of
29 1/100, and discharges into a 2000-m³-capacity settling tank from which water is recirculated
30 through an underground pipe system to large tanks located approximately 40 m upslope of
31 the flume from which the discharge is pumped (Ikeda, 1983). It was constructed between
32 1976 and 1979 but has been little used in recent years. In consequence, the flume floor is now
33 rusty, but free of all sediment (Figure 1a). In parts, the floor has a pseudo-granular roughness
34 created by the irregularity in the rusted surface. Elsewhere the flume floor has rust layers
35 peeling off, creating larger-scale roughness. In addition, where sections of the flume are
36 joined together, channel-like depressions (<1 cm deep) cross the flume floor (Figure 1a).
37 Within this flume a steady flow discharge of 0.95 m³ s⁻¹ was used to create a uniform flow
38 depth of 0.12 m that persisted to a distance of 145 m within the flume. The width/depth ratio
39 ($w/h = 33$) was much greater than 5, ensuring two-dimensional flow (Nezu and Nakagawa,
40 1993). This discharge gave a mean flow velocity (estimated from the depth and discharge) of
41 1.98 m s⁻¹ and a mean flow shear velocity of 0.109 m s⁻¹. The hydraulic roughness,
42 calculated using this mean flow velocity (Keulegan, 1938), was 0.99 mm giving a relative
43 roughness (depth/roughness) of 122 [-]. Thus the surface roughness was very small relative to
44 the depth of flow, such that no water surface effects interfered with the transport processes
45 (Bettess, 1984). Beyond a distance of 145 m the flow was gradually varied and a hydraulic
46 jump formed at a distance of approximately 155 m due to a weir at the downstream end of the
47 flume. Into the upstream end of the flume we poured 25 kg of sand with a median diameter
48 of 1.32 mm and a maximum size of 2.36 mm. This small quantity enabled the sand to be
49 introduced into the flow in a short time and minimized any possible effect of grain-to-grain
50 collision on sediment velocity (Abrahams and Atkinson, 1993). For studying sediment

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

51 transport, we restricted our analysis to that part of the sand $< 2\text{mm}$, which comprised 96% of
52 the total sand weight. During later analysis this part was separated it into three size classes;
53 0.5 to 1.0 mm, 1.0 to 1.4 mm, and 1.4 to 2.0 mm. The median diameter of the particles in
54 each of these size classes was 0.79 mm, 1.20 mm, and 1.61 mm, respectively.

55 Several formulae exist to calculate the critical shear velocity above which a particle of
56 a given size is conventionally considered to be transported in suspension. Some of these
57 formulae are based on the assumption that a particle is in suspension when the upward
58 velocity of turbulent eddies (estimated by the shear or flow velocity) exceeds the settling
59 velocity of the particle (Rubey, 1933; Rouse, 1937; Lane and Kalinske, 1939; Engelund,
60 1965; Bagnold, 1966, Middleton, 1976; Engelund and Fredsoe, 1982; Egiazaroff, 1965),
61 whereas others are empirically derived (van Rijn, 1984; Komar and Clemens, 1986; Sumer,
62 1986; Celik and Rodi, 1991; Paphitis, 2001; Cheng, 2008). For particles in the size range
63 studied here, these formulae give critical shear velocities that range over an order of
64 magnitude. However, for the majority of them, and certainly all of those that are empirically
65 derived, the sediment used in this study satisfies the suspension criterion (Table 1).

66 Once the steady discharge was established, the sand, which had previously been
67 sprayed with fluorescent paint to aid later identification, was poured steadily into the top end
68 of the flume from three containers positioned at 1, 2 and 3 m from the right-hand side of the
69 flume. Introduction of the sand into the flow took 50 s, and observations of the sediment
70 plume indicated that it was transported in suspension away from the points of introduction.
71 After the introduction of the sand, the discharge was run for 180 s, after which time the
72 pumps were ramped down over a period of 240 s, and the flume bed was left to drain and dry.
73 If all particles had remained in suspension and travelled at the same velocity as the water
74 during the transporting discharge, that discharge was of sufficient duration to ensure all

particles could be easily transported the complete length of the flume (in the time of the experiment the water would travel a minimum of 356 m (after the completion of the introduction of the sand) and a maximum of 455 m (after the start of the introduction of the sand), compared to the flume length of 160 m). Observations of the flume bed, however, using a UV light showed that fluorescent sand particles were present on the bed. The flume floor was then swept from the top end of the flume in 5-m-long sections and the combination of sand grains and rust particles bagged for later analysis. Collection of material for analysis was terminated at 145 m because of the backwater effect caused by the weir at the end of the flume. In addition to collecting the material for analysis, further material from the backwater area was also collected downflume for a further 10 m beyond which the density of fluorescent particles became very low compared to the quantity of rust particles. This further material was required to estimate a recovery rate.

The analysis of the 29, 5-m-length samples comprised preliminary riddling down to 4 mm to remove the coarsest rust particles, sieving and discarding material coarser than 2 mm and finer than 0.5 mm, and separating of rust and sand grains in the retained portion using an electromagnet. Observations with a UV light indicated that some of the introduced sand was lost in this technique because it was picked up along with the rust particles. We estimate this loss to be no more than 5%. Finally, the retained sediment was washed to remove rust dust, dried and resieved to separate it into three size classes; 0.5 to 1.0 mm, 1.0 to 1.4 mm, and 1.4 to 2.0 mm. For the further material collected beyond 145 m, we employed a similar methodology, but because of the amount of material involved, after riddling (when approximately 100 kg of rust and sand particles still remained), the sample was riffled down to a one-eighth sample prior to further treatment.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

99 **Results**

100 A few grains of the sand were identified less than 5 m from the point of introduction and
101 progressively (but irregularly) more downflume (see Figure 2). The total amount of sand
102 recovered (including that from the backwater area) was 20.4 kg, which represents 85% of the
103 introduced sediment in the size range from 0.5 to 2.0 mm. Most likely, the unrecovered
104 sediment was left in the unsampled last 5 m of the flume, or passed beyond the weir at the
105 lower end of the flume into the settling tank. Amounts of deposited sand were small over the
106 first 120 m. No 5-m section contained more than 100 g of the sediment, and only four
107 contained more than 10 g. However, beyond this point deposition increased markedly, so that
108 up to 145 m (well upflume of the part affected by the backwater effect of the weir; backwater
109 length was 8.4 m based on Samuels, 1996) almost 4.5 kg (or more than 18%) was deposited.
110 Examination of the percentage of sediment deposited in this first 145 m showed marked
111 variation with particle size. Almost 40% of the sediment 0.5 to 1.0 mm was deposited,
112 compared to 14.6% and 12.2% of the 1.0 to 1.4 mm and the 1.4 to 2.0 mm sediment,
113 respectively. Paradoxically, more of the finer sediment was deposited than the coarser
114 sediment (Fig 2). The distributions of the travel distances of the sand in three particle-size
115 classes shown in Figure 2 are slight overestimates of the true travel distance during the
116 experiment because (i) loss of sediment along with the rust particles in the separation method
117 results in an underestimate of sediment deposited at each distance; (ii) the recovery location
118 will also include some (additional) distance in transport as bedload in the waning phase of the
119 flow; and (iii) anything less than 100 % recovery rate of the introduced sediment leads to
120 overestimation of travel distance. We have estimated that these factors will introduce no
121 more than a 10% error. On the other hand, it is inconceivable that the pattern of sediment
122 deposition is simply the result of deposition in the waning phase of flow, given the
123 experimental conditions described above. The amount of sediment recovered, the size of the

1
2
3 124 flume, the weir at the downstream end, the capacity of the settling tank at the bottom end and
4
5 125 the duration of the experiment make it impossible for deposition to be a result of particles
6
7 126 having been transported off the end of the flume, re-circulated and re-entered into the
8
9 127 upstream end of the flume.

11
12
13 128 We have no data on which to base an expected distribution function for the travel
14
15 129 distance of sand-sized sediment. Work on bedload (Bradley and Tucker, 2012; Yang and
16
17 130 Saye, 1971) suggests the distribution function is right-skewed. Accordingly, we have fitted a
18
19 131 gamma distribution and compared it to a normal distribution fitted to our data (Figure 3),
20
21 132 optimizing these fits according to the known total amount of sediment that must be
22
23 133 accommodated within the distributions and the proportion of the distribution observed in our
24
25 134 data up to 145 m downflume. Although neither distribution is a particularly close fit to the
26
27 135 data (Figure 3), Nash-Sutcliffe efficiencies for the two models are quite high (Table 2) and all
28
29 136 but one of the Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests for goodness of fit is significant at $p < 0.001$ (Table
30
31 137 2). Such evidence as we have therefore suggests a normal distribution fits our data better
32
33 138 than a gamma distribution. However, we have used both distributions to estimate the mean
34
35 139 travel distances of the three particle size classes. For the normal distribution, these distances
36
37 140 are 151 m, 165 m and 168 m for the 0.5-1.0mm, 1.0-1.4 mm and 1.4-2.0 mm particle-size
38
39 141 classes, respectively. For comparison, mean travel distances estimated from a gamma
40
41 142 distribution are 150 m, 161 and 163 m. Assuming a travel time of 205 s (half the duration of
42
43 143 the sediment input plus the experiment duration after sediment input was complete), gives a
44
45 144 virtual velocity (simply defined as the mean travel distance for the size class divided by 205s)
46
47 145 of the three sediment size classes of 0.74, 0.80 and 0.82 m s^{-1} , respectively, under the
48
49 146 assumption of a normal distribution, compared to the water velocity of 1.98 m s^{-1} . In
50
51 147 comparison, the mean travel distances from the gamma distributions give virtual velocities of
52
53 148 0.73, 0.79 and 0.80 m s^{-1} . These results give a virtual velocity lower than that for saltating
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

149 particles obtained by Chatanantavet *et al.* 2013), who found an average virtual velocity of 0.8
150 of the water velocity over a range of channel slopes. These authors also found no difference
151 in virtual velocity with particle size in contrast to our inverse relationships with particle size.

152 The irregularity of the deposition is also noteworthy. Sections at 40-45 m, 90-95 m
153 and 120-135 m all show exceptionally high amounts of deposition. In contrast, sections 45-
154 80 m, 100-105 m 110-115 m and 135 -140 m show less deposition than might be expected.
155 The former group coincide with joints between sections of the flume or significant rust
156 layers. Many of the latter group coincide with smoother sections of the flume bed. Since
157 joints are regularly spaced along the flume and rust shows no downflume spatial pattern,
158 these factors affect the irregularity of the deposition but not its overall distribution. Natural
159 channels have significant roughness induced by reach-scale bedforms (e.g. point bars, riffle-
160 pools) and patch- and grain-scale bedforms (e.g. transverse ribs, pebble clusters) so that the
161 trapping of suspended sediment in such channels may be akin to that found in the rougher
162 sections of the flume used in this study.

163

164 **Comparison with virtual velocities of bedload**

165 Mao *et al* (2017, Fig 10) plotted virtual velocities of particles between 4 and 160 mm as a
166 function of dimensionless shear stress for two rivers in northern Italy. For their dataset,
167 virtual velocities and dimensionless shear stress ranged from 0.0406 to 3.236 m h⁻¹ and from
168 0.0196 to 0.0548, respectively. In contrast, our data (using the estimates based on the normal
169 distribution) range from 2664 to 2952 m h⁻¹, and from 0.868 to 0.26 (Fig. 3). Mao *et al.* fitted
170 linear regressions to their data, but these equations substantially underpredict our observed
171 values (yielding values between 24 and 52 m h⁻¹, compared to observed velocities of between
172 2664 and 2952 m h⁻¹). Comparable data to that of Mao *et al.* can be extracted from

Haschenburger & Church (1998) who examined movement of tracer particles ranging from 16 to 180 mm in a small stream on Vancouver Island, and from Wong *et al.* (2007) who undertook a flume study of the movement of particles with a median size of 7.1 mm. Taking all of these data together yields a power relationship (Fig. 4)

$$V = 58209\tau^{*3.4434} \quad (1)$$

in which V is virtual velocity (m h^{-1}) and τ^* is dimensionless shear stress that fits the combined data well ($R^2 = 0.837$). Although the strength of this relationship indicates promise for “an holistic approach to sediment transport” (Parsons *et al.*, 2015, p.1419), the paucity of data in the finer size range makes it better to regard this relationship as an hypothesis rather than a predictive model. Nonetheless, it is instructive to compare these datasets with those of Huxman *et al.* (2004) who examined rain-use efficiency across biomes in a similar attempt to identify a common relationship. Looking at ecosystems varying by an order of magnitude in annual precipitation, these authors were able to find a common relationship between net annual primary production and annual precipitation, but noted that there was substantial variation in the sensitivity relationships between the 14 sites (including one site with an inverse relationship), indicating local characteristics could mask a trend only visible at a global scale. Likewise, for the five datasets of Figure 4. Individual relationships differ markedly from site to site (as indicated by the individual regression lines), but over order-of-magnitude scales a common behaviour may be discerned.

Discussion and Conclusion

Although not ideal, because of its rusty state, the large flume at the University of Tsukuba has provided an opportunity for a first attempt to measure the virtual velocity of sand-sized

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

196 sediment in water at high values of excess shear stress. The experiment clearly demonstrates
197 that the sediment does travel intermittently because it has a virtual velocity that is less than
198 that of the flow in which the sediment is travelling. In that sense, the transported sand
199 exhibited behaviour no different from bedload or saltating load. For the sediment-size range
200 and flow velocity used in our experiment this virtual velocity is 37-41 % of the flow velocity.
201 Much sediment in rivers conventionally termed suspended is finer than that used in this
202 experiment, so that this virtual velocity may not be representative of most fine sediment.
203 However, if our observation that finer sediment has a lower virtual velocity than coarser
204 sediment extends beyond the size range used here, and is not an artefact of our experiment, it
205 may, in fact, be an underestimate. Such a lower virtual velocity of finer sediment is not
206 inconceivable. First, trapping, and hence virtual velocity, of the sediment appears to be a
207 function of bed roughness, and there is a probable relationship between bed roughness and
208 trapping efficiency for particles of different sizes (Lisle, 1989; Niño *et al.*, 2003; Fries and
209 Taghon, 2010; Hamm *et al.*, 2011; Gibson *et al.*, 2009). Secondly, finer particles are more
210 likely to find sheltered positions on a rough bed and thus experience lower mobility, relative
211 to the more exposed coarser grains, as observed for bedload transport (Parker *et al.*, 1982).
212 Thirdly, the virtual velocity of particles undergoing bedload transport has been found, in
213 some instances, to be lower for finer clasts (Milan, 2013). Our data show that trapping of
214 sand-sized sediment is strongly controlled by the nature of the bed, specifically in our case by
215 roughness of the flume bed. The role of bed roughness in determining the virtual velocity of
216 sand-sized sediment, in particular as it is controlled by bed-material calibre in natural rivers,
217 clearly needs further study, as do the hop lengths of fine sediment. However, better and more
218 time-efficient methods than the one employed here will be required. Combining our results
219 with those for bedload virtual velocities has allowed us to propose, for the first time, an
220 hypothesis for an holistic analysis of sediment movement in rivers.

221

222

223

224 Acknowledgements

225 The experiment was conducted while AJP and JW were visiting Tsukuba on a collaborative
226 project supported by The Royal Society. JRC acknowledges the support of a travel grant
227 from the University of Sheffield. We thank Luca Mao for providing data from Mao *et al.*
228 (2017) to allow us to produce Figure 4 and equation 1. Other data used in Figure 4 were
229 extracted from published graphs.

230

231 References

- 232 Abrahams AD, Atkinson JF. 1993. Relation between grain velocity and sediment
233 concentration in overland flow. *Water Resources Research* **29**: 3021–3028.
- 234 Bagnold RA. 1966. An approach to the sediment transport problem from general physics.
235 *Professional Paper 422-1*, US Geological Survey.
- 236 Bettess R. 1984. Initiation of sediment transport in gravel streams, *Proc. Inst. Civ. Eng., Part*
237 *2* **137**: 79– 88.
- 238 Bradley DN, Tucker GE. 2012. Measuring gravel transport and dispersion in a mountain river
239 using passive radio tracers. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms* **37**: 1034-1045.
- 240 Chatanantavet P, Whipple KX, Adams M, Lamb MP. 2013. Experimental study on coarse-
241 grain saltation dynamics in bedrock channels. *Journal of Geophysical Research-*
242 *Earth Surface* **118**: 1161-1176.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

243 Celik I, Rodi W. 1991. Suspended sediment-transport capacity for open channel flow.
244 *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering, ASCE* **117**: 191–204.

245 Cheng N-S. 2008. Comparison of settling-velocity-based formulas for threshold of sediment
246 motion. *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering, ASCE* **134**: 1136-1141, doi:
247 10.1061/(ASCE)0733-9429(2008)134:8(1136).

248 Egiazaroff IV. 1965. Calculation of nonuniform sediment concentrations. *ASCE Journal of*
249 *the Hydraulics Division* **91 HY4**: 225–247.

250 Engelund, F. 1965. A criterion for the occurrence of suspended load. *La Houille Blanche* **8**: 7.

251 Engelund F, Fredsoe J. 1982. Sediment ripples and dunes. *Annual Review of Fluid Mechanics*
252 **14**: 13–37.

253 Ferguson RI, Wathen SJ. 1998, Tracer-pebble movement along a concave river profile:
254 virtual velocity in relation to grain size and shear stress. *Water Resources Research*
255 **34**: 2031-2038.

256 Fries JS., Taghon GL. 2010. Particle fluxes into permeable sediments: comparison of
257 mechanisms mediating deposition. *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering* **136**: 214-221.

258 Gibson S, Abraham D, Heath R, Schoellhamer D. 2009. Vertical gradational variability of
259 fines deposited in a gravel framework. *Sedimentology* **56**: 661–676.
260 doi:10.1111/j.1365-3091.2008.00991.x

261 Hamm NT, Dade WB, Renshaw CE. 2011. Fine particle deposition to porous beds. *Water*
262 *Resources Research* **47**: W11508, doi:10.1029/2010WR010295.

263 Haschenburger JK, Church M. 1998. Bed material transport estimated from the virtual
264 velocity of sediment. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms* **23**: 791-808.

265

- 266 Hassan MA, Church M, Schick AP. 1991. Distance of movement of coarse particles in gravel
267 bed streams. *Water Resources Research* **27**: 503-511.
- 268 Huxman TE, Smith MD, Fay PA, Knapp AK, Shaw MR, Loik ME, Smith SD, Tissue DT,
269 Zak JC, Weltzin JF, Pockman WT, Sala OE, Haddad BM, Harte J, Koch GW,
270 Schwinning S, Small EE, Williams DG. 2004. Convergence across biomes to a
271 common rain-use efficiency. *Nature* **429**: 651-654.
- 272 Ikeda H. 1983. Experiments on bedload transport, bed forms, and sedimentary structures
273 using fine gravel in the 4-meter-wide flume. *Environmental Research Center Papers*,
274 2. Environmental Research Center, The University of Tsukuba.
- 275 Keulegan GH. 1938, Laws of turbulent flow in open channels, *Journal of the Research of*
276 *the National Bureau of Standards* **21**: 707-741.
- 277 Komar PD, Clemens KE. 1986. The relationship between a grain's settling velocity and
278 threshold of motion under unidirectional currents. *Journal of Sedimentary Petrology*
279 **56**(2): 258-266.
- 280 Lammare H, MacVicar B, Roy AG. 2005. Using passive integrated transponder (PIT) tags to
281 investigate sediment transport in gravel-bed rivers. *Journal of Sedimentary Research*
282 **75**: 736-741.
- 283 Lane EW, Kalinske AA. 1939. The relation of suspended to bed material in rivers.
284 *Transactions of the American Geophysical Union, Section of Hydrology* **20**(4): 637-
285 641.
- 286 Lisle TE. 1989. Sediment transport and resulting deposition in spawning gravels, north
287 coastal California. *Water Resources Research* **25**: 1303-1319.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

288 Mao L, Picco L, Lenzi MA, Surian N. 2017. Bed material transport estimate in large gravel-
289 bed rivers using the virtual velocity approach. *Earth Surface Processes and*
290 *Landforms* **42**: 595-611.

291 Middleton GW. 1976. Hydraulic interpretation of sand size distributions. *Geology* **84**: 405-
292 426.

293 Milan D J. 2013. Virtual velocity of tracers in a gravel-bed river using size-based competence
294 duration, *Geomorphology* 198: 107-114 doi:10.1016/j.geomorph.2013.05.018.

295 Nezu I., and Nakagawa H. 1993. *Turbulence in Open Channel Flows*, A. A. Balkema,
296 Brookfield, Vt.

297 Niño Y, Lopez F, García M. 2003. Threshold for particle entrainment into suspension.
298 *Sedimentology* **50**: 247-263.

299 Paphitis D. 2001. Sediment movement under unidirectional flows: an assessment of empirical
300 threshold curves. *Coastal Engineering* **43**: 227–245.

301 Parker G, Klingeman PC, McLean DG. 1982. Bedload and size distribution in paved gravel-
302 bed streams. *ASCE, Journal of the Hydraulics Division* **108**: 544-571.

303 Parsons AJ, Cooper JR, Wainwright J. 2015. What is suspended sediment? *Earth Surface*
304 *Processes and Landforms* **40**: 1417-20. DOI: 10.1002/esp.3730.

305 Rouse H. 1937. Modern conceptions of the mechanics of fluid turbulence. *Transactions of the*
306 *American Society of Civil Engineers* **102**: 463-543.

307 Rubey WW. 1933. Settling velocities of gravel, sand, and silt particles. *American Journal of*
308 *Science* **25**: 325–338.

309 Samuels P. 1989. Backwater lengths in rivers. *Proceedings of the Institution of Civil*
310 *Engineers, Part 2* **87**: 571–582.

- 1
2
3 311 Sumer BM. 1986. Recent developments on the mechanics of sediment suspension. In W.
4
5 312 Bechteler, ed. *Transport of Suspended Solids in Open Channel*, Euromech 192,
6
7 313 Balkema, Rotterdam, The Netherlands, pp3–13.
8
9
10 314 van Rijn LC. 1984. Sediment transport part II: suspended load transport. *Journal of*
11
12 315 *Hydraulic Engineering* **110**: 1613–1641.
13
14
15 316 Wong M, Parker G, De Vries P, Brown TM, Burges SJ. 2007. Experiments on dispersion of
16
17 317 tracer stones under low-regime plane-bed equilibrium bedload transport. *Water*
18
19 318 *Resources Research* **43**: W03440, doi:10.1029/2006WR005172.
20
21
22 319 Yang C, Saye W. 1971. Stochastic model for sand dispersion. *ASCE, Journal of the*
23
24 320 *Hydraulics Division* **97**: 265-288.
25
26
27 321
28
29 322
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49

Table 1 Suspended sediment criteria for sediment used in the experiment. Y denotes sediment satisfies the suspension threshold,

N denotes it does not.

Particle size class	Suspension Criterion									
	van Rijn (1984)*	Engelund (1965)#	Sumer (1986)*	Celik & Rodi (1991)*	Komar & Clemens (1986)*	Paphitis (2001)*	Cheng (2008)*	Engelund & Fredsoe (1982)# Bagnold (1966)#	Rubey (1933)# Middleton (1976)# Lane & Kalinske (1939)# Egiazaroff (1965)#	Rouse (1937)#
0.5mm – 1.0 mm	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Incipient	Incipient
1.00 mm – 1.4 mm	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Incipient	N	N
1.4 mm – 2.0 mm	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N

* denotes an empirically derived threshold and # denotes a theoretical or assumed threshold

Table 2 Fits of normal and gamma distributions to particle-size data

Probability distribution	Particle size class					
	0.5 – 1.0 mm		1.0 – 1.4 mm		1.4 – 2.0 mm	
	Nash- Sutcliffe efficiency	K-S p- value	Nash- Sutcliffe efficiency	K-S p-value	Nash- Sutcliffe efficiency	K-S probability
gamma	0.798	0.0037	0.634	<0.001	0.594	<0.001
normal	0.933	<0.001	0.823	<0.001	0.815	<0.001

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

List of Figures

- Figure 1 a) bed of flume looking downflume showing rusting flume bed
- b) flume showing flow during experiment looking upflume
- Figure 2 Observed distribution of deposited sediment by size class
- Figure 3 Fits of normal and gamma distributions to cumulative distributions of
deposited particles by size class
- Figure 4 Relationship of results from the present experiment with those from
bedload tracer studies.




bed of flume looking downflume showing rusting flume bed


1219x1625mm (72 x 72 DPI)



flume showing flow during experiment looking upflume


1219x1625mm (72 x 72 DPI)





- Present paper
- Mao et al. (2017) Tagliamento
- + Mao et al. (2017) Brenta
- ◆ Haschenburger and Church (1998)
- * Wong et al. (2007)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26



Virtual velocity of sand transport in water

Anthony J. Parsons*, James R. Cooper, John Wainwright and Tomohiro Sekiguichi

Sand-sized sediment that would traditionally be classed as suspended load in rivers is shown to travel intermittently and have a virtual velocity about 40% of the flow velocity. A unified relationship for virtual velocity against dimensionless shear stress is proposed for all sediment transport.

